

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. E	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/811,909		03/19/2001	Erik Peterson	J6688(C)	8959
201	7590	07/14/2004		EXAM	INER
UNILE			MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				1732	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ 3				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/811,909	PETERSON, ERIK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		*				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u> 6 April 2004</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	This action is non-final.					
, · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	e e				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11, 15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
The pain of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Since Action of John 1 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/01 (2010 10/23/02		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/811,909

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 4/16/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 12-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/16/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakurai et al. (JP 359103726). Sakurai et al. discloses a method of extrusion stretch blow molding to form a transparent container wherein the parison is formed by extrusion, cooled, stretched, and blown during or after the stretching. Stretching and blow molding necessarily form biaxial orientation.
- 5. Claims 1, 7, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cox et al. (US Patent 5,000,905). Cox et al. discloses a method of extrusion stretch blow molding a bottle (50) which may be polypropylene (see abstract).
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Belcher (US Patent 5,149,485). Belcher discloses a method of extrusion stretch blow molding by extruding a tube (20), passing the tube through cooling means (60), into a set of two or more molds (24a, 24b), stretching the tube with a stretching means (46) to form a container, whereby the container may be formed from polyethylene terephthalate and may be clear or colored (column 1, lines 62-66).

Application/Control Number: 09/811,909

Art Unit: 1732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3, 5, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Sakurai et al. (JP 359103726) or, in the alternative, Cox et al. (US Patent 5,000,905) or, in the alternative, Belcher (US Patent 5,149,485). Sakurai et al. discloses a method of extrusion stretch blow molding to form a transparent container wherein the parison is formed by extrusion, cooled, stretched, and blown during or after the stretching. Cox et al. discloses a method of extrusion stretch blow molding a bottle (50). Belcher discloses a method of extrusion stretch blow molding by extruding a tube (20), passing the tube through cooling means (60), into a set of two or more molds (24a, 24b), stretching the tube with a stretching means (46) to form a container.

Regarding claims 3, 5, 15, and 16, it is generally well known in the art to provide preforms with various wall thicknesses and to blow mold them at various ratios, depending upon the material utilized, its temperature, rate of extrusion, and the characteristics of the finished container. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use generally well known molding techniques or, in the alternative, routine experimentation, to optimize the method taught by Sakurai et al., or, in the alternative, Cox et al. or, in the alternative, Belcher, in order to form the finished article.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Andersen (US Patent 4,968,242).

Art Unit: 1732

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, Th 6:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEM July 12, 2004 Sizanne E. Mchall

SUZANNE E. MCDOWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER